	Case 2:24-cv-02940-TLN-CSK Docume	nt 34 Filed 11/26/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ERIK PYLE,	Case No. 2:24-cv-294	0-TLN-CSK
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER	
14	GLENN COUNTY SHERIFF'S		
15	DEPARTMENT, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	Plaintiff Erik Pyle ("Plaintiff"), proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking		
19	relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge		
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
21	On October 14, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No.		
22	25), which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the		
23	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 25). On October		
24	24, 2025, Plaintiff filed objections to Defendant's motion to dismiss which will be construed as		
25	Plaintiff's objections to the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 27). Plaintiff objects to the		
26	adoption of the findings and recommendations on the grounds that Defendant's motion to dismiss		
27	will be rendered moot upon Plaintiff's filing of his second amended complaint. (Id.) Plaintiff		
28	then filed a second amended complaint on November 12, 2025. (ECF No. 30.) The Court has		
	1		

Case 2:24-cv-02940-TLN-CSK Document 34 Filed 11/26/25 Page 2 of 2 1 considered all objections raised. 2 In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review. 3 The Court has carefully reviewed the file, including Plaintiff's objections, and finds the findings 4 and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. The Court therefore 5 concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. 6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 7 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 25) are ADOPTED IN FULL; 8 2. Defendant Glenn County Sheriff's Department's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 18) 9 is GRANTED with leave to amend the complaint; and 10 3. Because Plaintiff has filed a Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 30), this 11 matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings. 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: November 25, 2025 13 14 Troy L. Nunley 15 Chief United States District Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28